



## Measuring the elastic strain of individual grains in polycrystalline materials

**Oddershede, Jette; Schmidt, Søren; Poulsen, Henning Friis; Sørensen, Henning Osholm; Reimers, Walter**

*Publication date:*  
2008

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Oddershede, J. (Author), Schmidt, S. (Author), Poulsen, H. F. (Author), Sørensen, H. O. (Author), & Reimers, W. (Author). (2008). Measuring the elastic strain of individual grains in polycrystalline materials. Sound/Visual production (digital)

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.


- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Measuring the elastic strain of individual grains in a polycrystalline material

Jette Oddershede, Søren Schmidt, Henning Friis Poulsen  
Risø DTU

and Walter Reimers  
TU Berlin



# Measuring the elastic strain of individual grains in a polycrystalline material

- Why?
  - To study grain-grain interactions in deformed materials – Does the strain depend on the grain orientation and/or the neighbouring grains?
  - To study residual stresses
  - To study crack formation and propagation and the role of reinforcements for the process
- How?
  - Farfield 3DXRD
- July 2008 workshop on 3DXRD software for strain in grains:
  - C. Aydiner, J. Bernier, J. Wright, U. Lienert, P. Reischig (W. Ludwig)
  - M. Miller, A. Borbely
- FitAllB – Fable package for fitting grain resolved centre of mass positions, orientations and elastic strains

# FitAllB

$$\sum_{i,j(i)} \left( \Gamma_{ij}^{-1} \bar{G}_{ij} - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} U_i B_i \bar{G}_{hkl,ij} \right)^T V_{ij}^{-1} \left( \Gamma_{ij}^{-1} \bar{G}_{ij} - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} U_i B_i \bar{G}_{hkl,ij} \right)$$

Observations  
3 per reflection

$$\bar{G}_{ij} = \left[ \frac{\bar{d}_{ij}}{|\bar{d}_{ij}|} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

Global parameters  
10

Grain parameters  
12 per grain

$$\bar{d}_{ij} = R \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y_{det,ij} - y_{det,0} \\ z_{det,ij} - z_{det,0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} D \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \Gamma_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} x_{0,i} \\ y_{0,i} \\ z_{0,i} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Fable input

- peaksearch:
  - images →
  - filtered peaks file (.flt:  $\omega$ , dety, detz, spotid, intensity)
- transformation:
  - peak positions (.flt) + detector parameters (.par) →
  - scattering g-vectors (.gve)
- GrainSpotter:
  - g-vectors →
  - oriented grains (.log: spotid, h, k, l, orientations, positions)

# FitAllB input

- `flt_file`            `al20_peaks_t25.flt`
- `par_file`            `al20_detector.par`
- `log_file`            `al20_grainspotter.log`
- `structure_file`    `al.cif`
- `dety_size` 2048
- `detz_size` 2048
- `w_step` 0.5
- `w_limit` -22.5 22.5 67.5 112.5
- `crystal_system` cubic
- `c11` 10.8e10
- `c12` 6.22e10
- `c44` 2.84e10
- `skip` 4                # skip grain 4 in `al20_grainspotter.log`
- `ia` 0.2
- `min_refl` 60

# FitAllB input

- w 0           # Fit omega stage tilt parameter wy (wedge)
- center 0     # Fit beam centre on detector in y direction, cy
- pixel 0       # Fit pixel size py and pz
- tilt 0        # Fit detector tilt parameters tx, ty, tx
- L 0           # Fit sample-to-detector distance
  
- rod 1         # Fit orientations (Rodrigues vector)
- xyz 1        # Fit positions
- eps 1        # Fit strain tensors

## FitAllB output

- A parameter file containing the following parameters for each grain:
  - grainno mean\_IA grainvolume x y z
  - rodx rody rodz U11 U12 U13 U21 U22 U23 U31 U32 U33
  - eps11 eps22 eps33 eps23 eps13 eps12
  - eps11\_s eps22\_s eps33\_s eps23\_s eps13\_s eps12\_s
  - sig11 sig22 sig33 sig23 sig13 sig12
  - sig11\_s sig22\_s sig33\_s sig23\_s sig13\_s sig12\_s
- An error file containing the estimated errors of the above parameters




# Tests on simulated data - PolyXSim

- Model systems
  - F.C.C. or B.C.C. metals
  - 100-200 illuminated grains
  - Positions randomly distributed in cylinder with  $\varnothing=0.5-1$  mm and  $h=0.01-0.1$  mm
  - Random orientations
  - Lognormal distribution of grain sizes
  - Random strain, Gaussian distribution with  $\mu=0$  and  $\sigma=0.001$
  - $\sim 70$  keV,  $2048 \times 2048$  pixels detector,  $50 \times 50$   $\mu\text{m}$  pixels (ID11 Frelon4M) sample-to-detector distance to give 5 full diffraction rings
- Present example
  - 100 grains of IF steel (B.C.C)
  - $\omega$ -ranges:  $-22.5 \rightarrow 22.5^\circ$  and  $67.5 \rightarrow 112.5^\circ$  in steps of  $0.5^\circ$

# Simulated data and error estimation

## Idealised geometry

## Detector discretisation



For each of the 12 grain parameters:


x-axis:  
(refined – true value)/  
estimated error

y-axis:  
Number of observations

Red curve:  
Gaussian with  $\mu=0$  and  $\sigma=1$ , expected for correct error estimation

# Idealised geometry

## Diffractometer vibrations in y and z (2D-Gaussian, $\sigma=1 \mu\text{m}$ )




For comparison:

1.27 microns  
0.008 deg  
2.52e-5

# Fitting global parameters

- Fitglobalgrain or Fitglobal for multigrain global parameter refinements
- Same input file as for FitAllB, but with the following options:
  
- w 1           # Fit omega stage tilt parameter wy (wedge)
- center 1     # Fit beam centre on detector in y direction, cy
- pixel 0       # Fit pixel size py and pz
- tilt 1        # Fit detector tilt parameters tx, ty, tx
- L 1           # Fit sample-to-detector distance
  
- rod 1         # Fit orientations (Rodrigues vector)
- xyz 1        # Fit positions
- eps 0        # Fit strain tensors


# Sample tilt (wedge) off by 0.001°



For comparison:

1.27 microns  
0.008 deg  
2.52e-5


# Beam centre on detector off by 0.01 pixels in y direction (0.5 $\mu\text{m}$ )



For comparison:

1.27 microns  
0.008 deg  
2.52e-5


# Detector tilt off by $0.006^\circ$



For comparison:

1.27 microns  
0.008 deg  
 $2.52 \times 10^{-5}$

# Sample-to-detector distance off by 2 $\mu\text{m}$ on 200 mm ( $1\text{e-}5$ )



For comparison:


1.27 microns  
0.008 deg  
2.52e-5



# Fitted geometry

## Detector discretisation

## Diffractometer vibrations



For comparison:

1.27 microns  
0.008 deg  
2.52e-5

With vibrations:


1.33 microns  
0.008 deg  
4.17e-5

## Experimental data

### Refining global parameters

- Global parameters for Al reference single crystal
    - wedge =  $-0.0206^\circ$
    - y\_center = 1019.06
    - tilt\_x =  $-0.00041$
    - tilt\_y =  $0.00303$
    - tilt\_z =  $-0.01337$
    - L = 252.355 mm
  - Possible to index  $\sim 35\%$  of the reflections
- Global parameters for 5 layers of undeformed Cu, 161 grains
    - wedge =  $-0.3552(7)^\circ$
    - y\_center = 1018.743(11)
    - tilt\_x =  $-0.00066(1)$
    - tilt\_y =  $0.00414(10)$
    - tilt\_z =  $-0.01063(10)$
    - L = 252.358(4) mm
  - Possible to index  $\sim 45\%$  of the reflections


# Experimental data, level 1: Centre of mass position and orientations IF steel, ex situ deformed



Undeformed  
1939 grains

Est. error on pos.: 3  $\mu\text{m}$


**NB! Position fit using near-field data**




3% deformed  
1766 grains  
5  $\mu\text{m}$

G. Winther, H.F. Poulsen, L. Margulies, M. Kobayashi, J. Oddershede, S. Schmidt, J. Wright – in progress

# Experimental data, level 1: Centre of mass positions and orientations IF steel, ex situ deformed





Possible to match 1186 grains  
(of 1939 and 1766)



To study grain rotations during  
deformation





# Experimental data, level 2: Positions, orientations and strains Cu, deformed tensionally in situ

- Strain levels: undeformed, 1%, 3% and unloaded
- Sample diameter 1 mm, 5 layers of 0.1 mm mapped
- 800 large grains indexed and refined
- 450 of these match between undeformed and 3% deformation



J. Oddershede, G. Winther, H.F. Poulsen, L. Margulies, M. Moscicki, S. Schmidt, J. Wright – in progress

# Experimental data, level 2a: Correlating strain and orientation Cu, deformed tensionally in situ







# Experimental data, level 3: Laguerre tessellation, grain maps and grain interaction studies

- Laguerre tessellation:
  - Method to get 3D grain map from centre of mass positions and relative grain volumes
- Test on position, volumes and grain shapes from from microtomography on meta-stable beta-titanium alloy  
(A. Lyckegaard, E.M. Lauridsen, W. Ludwig, R.W. Fonda, H.F. Poulsen)

|  | Voronoi | Laguerre |        |                 |                 |                 |                  |
|--|---------|----------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Error type                             | None    | None     | Volume | CMS             |                 |                 |                  |
| Std. of error, 3 sigma                 | -       | -        | 10%    | 2 $\mu\text{m}$ | 4 $\mu\text{m}$ | 7 $\mu\text{m}$ | 10 $\mu\text{m}$ |
| % Correct labelled voxels              | 59.72   | 86.30    | 86.26  | 85.88           | 84.72           | 81.85           | 78.25            |
| % grains with all neighbours correct   | 7.82    | 31.75    | 30.90  | 28.80           | 23.82           | 16.99           | 10.15            |
| # erroneously extra neighbours/grain   | 1.87    | 0.58     | 0.59   | 0.62            | 0.73            | 0.93            | 1.23             |
| # erroneously missing neighbours/grain | 1.29    | 0.64     | 0.65   | 0.69            | 0.76            | 0.96            | 1.24             |
| # total of wrong neighbours/grain      | 3.16    | 1.22     | 1.24   | 1.31            | 1.49            | 1.89            | 2.47             |

Table 1: Average similarity measures for the tessellations: Voronoi (N=1), Laguerre without errors (N=1), Laguerre with 10% volume errors (N=17) and Laguerre with 2  $\mu\text{m}$ , 4  $\mu\text{m}$ , 7  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  CMS errors (N=17).



# Experimental data, level 3: Cu, deformed tensionally in situ



estimated error  
on position:  
10  $\mu\text{m}$

62 grains  
visually  
matched at all  
strain levels

Sample slip/  
elongation



Twins!!



Combine  
knowledge  
from adjacent  
layers/strains  
to improve  
incomplete  
indexing

2-apr-2009





# Experimental data, level 3

## Cu, deformed tensionally in situ



undeformed  
 $\epsilon_{33}^{avg} = 1.2e-5$   
 $\epsilon_{33}^{err} = 1.1e-4$

1%  
 $\epsilon_{33}^{avg} = 1.6e-4$   
 $\epsilon_{33}^{err} = 1.1e-4$



3%  
 $\epsilon_{33}^{avg} = 5.4e-4$   
 $\epsilon_{33}^{err} = 1.2e-4$

unloaded  
 $\epsilon_{33}^{avg} = -5.3e-5$   
 $\epsilon_{33}^{err} = 1.2e-4$

# Conclusions

- FitAllB for refining centre of mass grain positions, orientations and strain tensors and Fitglobalgrain/Fitglobal for refining global experimental parameters
- Simulated data used to validate error estimation and illustrate the necessity for accurate global parameters
- IF steel ex situ
  - ~2000 grains, good statistics
  - The use of near-field data for position fit significantly improved these
- Cu in situ
  - Average estimated error on  $\epsilon_{33}$  strain in tensile direction  $\leq 1.2e-4$
  - Strain evolution along tensile axis detected
  - Orientation dependence of  $\epsilon_{33}$  detected

# Outlook

- IF steel ex situ:
  - Too low percentage of grains matched between undeformed and 3% deformed, must be improved
  - 6% and 9% data
- Cu in situ:
  - Twins, indexing
  - Strain and correction for spatial distortion of detector
  - Analysis of data measured at 0.2 % and 0.4 % deformation
  - Present data measured for  $\omega$ -ranges:  $-150 \rightarrow -30^\circ$  and  $30 \rightarrow 150^\circ$  in steps of  $0.25^\circ$ . Is one  $\omega$ -range enough (speed gain)?
  - One layer remeasured in steps of  $0.1^\circ$ , is this an improvement?
- APS beamtime application for studying the grain resolved stress evolution around crack tips.

# Acknowledgements

- J. Wright & H.O. Sørensen, my python and fable gurus
- G. Winther, everything on deformation theory
- Everyone who helped prepare the samples and collect the data
- M. Moscicki and A. Borbely, MPIE Düsseldorf, stress rig



# Motivation

- Goal:
  - To determine the centre-of-mass elastic strains (and stresses - type II) in many (100+) grains to an accuracy of  $10^{-4}$
- To study what:
  - grain-grain interactions in elastically deformed materials
  - crack formation and propagation
  - residual stresses
- Approach
  - FitAllB – Fable package for fitting grain resolved centre of mass positions, orientations and elastic strains

## Potential problems 2: Peak overlap

- Especially for textured and/or deformed materials
- Solutions:
  - Illuminate a smaller volume
  - Filter out peaks covering more than a certain number of pixels
  - Use several thresholds in peaksearch and merge the outcome